

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Nambu-Poisson bracket and M-theory branes coupled to antisymmetric fluxes

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. JHEP03(2009)064

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1126-6708/2009/03/064)

The Table of Contents and more related content is available

Download details: IP Address: 80.92.225.132 The article was downloaded on 03/04/2010 at 10:39

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

PUBLISHED BY IOP PUBLISHING FOR SISSA

RECEIVED: January 18, 2009 ACCEPTED: February 10, 2009 PUBLISHED: March 10, 2009

Nambu-Poisson bracket and M-theory branes coupled to antisymmetric fluxes

Mohammad A. Ganjali

Department of Fundamental Sciences, Tarbiat Moaallem University, Tehran, Iran

E-mail: Ganjali@theory.ipm.ac.ir

ABSTRACT: By using the recently proposed prescription [1] for obtaining the M5 brane action from multiple M2 branes action in BLG theory, we examine such transition when 11 Dimensional background antisymmetric fluxes couple to the M2 brane world volume. Such couplings was suggested in [2] where it was used the fact that various fields in BLG theory are valued in a Lie 3-algebra. We argue that this action and promoting it by Nambu-Poisson bracket gives the expected coupling of fluxes with M5 brane at least at weak coupling limit. We also study some other aspects of the action for example, the gauge invariance of the theory.

KEYWORDS: Brane Dynamics in Gauge Theories, M-Theory

ARXIV EPRINT: 0811.2976



Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	M2 brane coupled to antisymmetric fluxes	3
3	From $M2$ to $M5$	5 7
	3.1 From $M2$ to $M5$ in flux sector	(
4	M5 brane coupled to antisymmetric fluxes	9
5	From $M5$ to $D4$	10
6	Conclusion	10

1 Introduction

Understanding the structure of M-theory as an underlying theory of all known string theories was one of the major efforts during the past decade [3](for a recent review see [4]). Although several approaches has been found but we don't still have any clear picture of this theory. Fortunately, in the last few years, some ground breaking ideas invented for describing the dynamics of fundamental objects of M theory ie. membranes and five branes.

One of them is a theory proposed by Aharony, Bergman, Jafferis and Maldacena(ABJM) theory [5] which is 3D $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal $U(N) \times U(N)$ Chern-Simons gauge theory and describes N coincident M2 branes probing Z_k geometry. The other one is the work of Bagger, Lambert and equivalently Gustavsson(BLG theory) [6] where they found a theory for multiple M2 branes using a wonderful algebraic structure, Lie 3algebra.¹ The fields in this theory are valued in a non-associative triple algebra as defined by generators T^a as

$$[T^a, T^b, T^c] = f^{abc}_{\ \ d} T^d.$$
(1.1)

where $f^{abc}_{\ d}$ are some constants known as structure constants. By this assumption, one is able to write down a three dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 8$ maximally supersymmetric action which is U(N) gauge theory coupled to Chern-Simons term and is conformal at least at classical level and can explain some expected behavior of multiple membrane dynamics. The proposed action is as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} < D_{\mu}X_{I}, D_{\mu}X^{I} > +\frac{i}{2} < \bar{\Psi}, \Gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\Psi > +\frac{i}{4} < \bar{\Psi}, \Gamma_{IJ}[X^{I}, X^{J}, \Psi] > -V(X) + \mathcal{L}_{CS}$$
(1.2)

¹Recently, a non linear theory for multiple M2 branes was proposed in [8].

where

$$V(X) = \frac{1}{12} < [X^{I}, X^{J}, X^{K}], [X^{I}, X^{J}, X^{K}] >$$
(1.3)

and the Chern-Simons action is

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} (f^{abcd} A_{\mu ab} \partial_{\nu} A_{\lambda cd} + \frac{2}{3} f^{cda}{}_{g} f^{efgb} A_{\mu ab} A_{\nu cd} A_{\lambda ef})$$
(1.4)

where X^{I} , I = 1, ..., 8 are the transverse coordinates of M2 branes and $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2$ specify longitudinal coordinates. Also a, b, c = 1, ..., D where D is the number of generators of Lie 3-algebra. D_{μ} is the covariant derivative

$$(D_{\mu}\Phi(x))_{a} = \partial_{\mu}\Phi_{a} - f^{cdb}{}_{a}A_{\mu cd}(x)\Phi_{b}$$
(1.5)

All fields in the theory can be expanded using the generators of the algebra T^a as

$$\Phi = \Phi_a T^a \tag{1.6}$$

For closure of the supersymmetry algebra one should impose the following so-called fundamental identity

$$f^{cde}_{\ g}f^{abg}_{\ h} = f^{abc}_{\ g}f^{gde}_{\ h} + f^{abd}_{\ g}f^{gec}_{\ h} + f^{abe}_{\ g}f^{cdg}_{\ h}, \tag{1.7}$$

One also need to define an inner product for generators as $h^{ab} = (\chi^a, \chi^b)$. The h^{ab} is referred as the metric of the algebra which can have Euclidean or Lorenzian signature.

The fermion field is eleven dimensional Majorana spinor which has 16 real components and satisfy chirality condition $\Gamma_{012}\Psi = -\Psi$.

After the proposal of BLG, a lot of attempts has been done for extracting and understanding various aspects of this theory, see for example [9]. One of the important articles in this direction is the work of [10] in which it was shown that if one of the scalars, for example X^8 , gives an expectation value, one can reduce the membrane action to D2 brane action which shows an important notion of reliability of the BLG theory.

Since In M-theory for an M2 brane there is an M5 brane which are electric-magnetic dual of each other, one natural question is the relation between the M2 and M5 brane dynamics in the context of the BLG theory. One can use various methods to study M5 brane theory by using M2 theory [11] but the author of [1] prescribed an interesting approach to achieve this goal using the Nambu-Poisson brackets. In fact, by considering an 3 dimensional internal space in the world volume of M2 brane, they were able to find a six dimensional theory which has some desired properties of an M5 brane. For example, they found the action of a self dual two form gauge field living on the world volume of M5 brane.

An interesting subject in this theory is the coupling of supergravity form fields as background fields with world volume of M2 and M5 branes and studying their relation via the Ho-Matsuo approach. Initially, the coupling of antisymmetric fields with M2 branes was studied in [2]. It was suggested there a so called Meyers-Chern-Simons(MCS) action, for coupling of antisymmetric form fields C_3 and its magnetic dual C_6 with M2 branes. For rewriting this action the author supposed all fields posses a Lie 3-algebra.

In this paper, we focus on this action for obtaining and studying the M5 brane action in the flux sector by using Ho-Matsuo prescription. After a brief introduction of Nambu-Poisson formalism in section 2, we study some aspects such as gauge symmetry of MCS action for M2 branes and in the next section, we focus on transition from M2 brane theory to M5 brane theory. Then, we obtain gauge invariance conditions for M5 brane in section 4 and finally in section 5, we study the reduction from M5 to D5 theory by double dimensional reduction.

2 M2 brane coupled to antisymmetric fluxes

The eleven dimensional supergravity contains an antisymmetric three form field C_3 and its magnetic dual six form field C_6 . It is well known that any *p*-brane can covariantly couples to an p + 1 form field and also to $p - 1, \ldots$ form fields due to existence of world volume antisymmetric gauge fields or Kalb-Ramond fields [12]. Meyrs in [13] showed that *p*-brane can also couple to $p + 3, \ldots$ form fields via the fact that the commutators of transverse scalar fields in non-Abelian theories are non zero. Motivating by these and the fact that all fields in the BLG theory can give values in an 3-algebra, the author of [2] suggest the following Myers-Chern-Simons action for the coupling of the C_3 and C_6 fields to multiple M2 branes

$$S_{MCS} = \lambda_1 \int d^3 x \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} C_{IJK} STr(T^a T^b T^c) D_\lambda X^I_a D_\mu X^J_b D_\nu X^K_c$$

$$+ \lambda_2 \int d^3 x \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} C_{IJKLMN} STr([T^d, T^e, T^f] T^a T^b T^c) X^I_d X^J_f X^K_f D_\lambda X^L_a D_\mu X^M_b D_\nu X^N_c$$
(2.1)

The "STr" denotes the symmetrized trace and λ_1, λ_2 are some conventional coefficients. One may also define

$$g^{abc} = STr(T^a T^b T^c), \qquad d^{abcd} = STr(T^a T^b T^c T^d), \qquad (2.2)$$

which are symmetric functions due to permutation of indices. In this action, it was ignored the higher order terms involving higher power of Kalb-Ramond field or world volume gauge field. Here, we study some properties of this action as an action of M2 brane coupled to antisymmetric fluxes.

Generally, one may consider the background antisymmetric fields as functional of scalar fields X^{I} and so the MCS terms of action contributes to equation of motion of scalar fields and gauge fields. For example, by computing the variation of the action and noticing that

$$\frac{\partial (D_{\lambda} X_{a}^{I})}{\partial (X_{m}^{H})} = -A_{\lambda dc} f^{dcb}{}_{m} X_{b}^{H}, \qquad \partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial (D_{\lambda} X_{a}^{I})}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} X_{m}^{H})} \right) = \partial_{\mu}^{2} X_{m}^{H}$$

one finds the following contribution to the equation of motion of scalar fields

$$+\lambda_{1}\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu}g^{abc}\frac{\partial(C_{IJK})}{\partial(X_{m}^{H})}D_{\lambda}X_{a}^{I}D_{\mu}X_{b}^{J}D_{\nu}X_{c}^{K}$$

$$-3\lambda_{1}\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu}g^{mbc}C_{HJK}A_{\lambda rs}f^{rsh}{}_{m}X_{h}^{H}D_{\mu}X_{b}^{J}D_{\nu}X_{c}^{K}$$

$$+3\lambda_{1}\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu}g^{mbc}C_{HJK}\partial_{\lambda}^{2}X_{m}^{H}D_{\mu}X_{b}^{J}D_{\nu}X_{c}^{K}$$

$$+\lambda_{2}\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu}d^{gabc}f^{def}{}_{g}\frac{\partial(C_{IJKLMN})}{\partial(X_{m}^{H})}X_{d}^{I}X_{e}^{J}X_{f}^{K}D_{\lambda}X_{a}^{L}D_{\mu}X_{b}^{M}D_{\nu}X_{c}^{N}$$

$$+3\lambda_{2}\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu}d^{gabc}f^{mef}{}_{g}C_{HJKLMN}X_{e}^{J}X_{f}^{k}D_{\lambda}X_{a}^{L}D_{\mu}X_{b}^{M}D_{\nu}X_{c}^{N}$$

$$-3\lambda_{2}\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu}d^{gmbc}f^{def}{}_{g}C_{IJKHMN}A_{\lambda rs}f^{rsh}{}_{m}X_{d}^{I}X_{e}^{J}X_{f}^{K}X_{h}^{H}D_{\mu}X_{b}^{M}D_{\nu}X_{c}^{N}$$

$$+\lambda_{2}\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu}d^{gmbc}f^{def}{}_{g}C_{IJKHMN}X_{d}^{I}X_{e}^{J}X_{f}^{K}\partial_{\lambda}^{2}X_{m}^{H}D_{\mu}X_{b}^{M}D_{\nu}X_{c}^{N}$$

$$(2.3)$$

These terms are, in fact, the higher order terms of equation of motion. Note that there isn't any sum on index m. By varying the action about $A_{\alpha rs}$, the contribution to the gauge field equation of motion is given by

$$-3\lambda_1 \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu} g^{abc} C_{IJK} f^{rsh}{}_a X^I_h D_\mu X^J_b D_\nu X^K_c -3\lambda_2 \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu} d^{gabc} f^{def}{}_g f^{rsh}{}_a C_{IJKLMN} X^I_d X^J_e X^K_f X^L_h D_\mu X^M_b D_\nu X^N_c$$
(2.4)

One can also find the supersymmetry transformations of MCS terms using the following transformation laws for various fields in the BLG theory

$$\delta X_a^I = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^I \Psi_a$$

$$\delta \Psi_a = D_\mu X_a^I \Gamma^\mu \Gamma_I \epsilon - \frac{1}{6} X_b^I X_c^J X_d^K f^{bcd}{}_a \Gamma_{IJK} \epsilon,$$

$$\delta \tilde{A}_\mu{}^b{}_a = \delta(f^{cdb}{}_a A_{\mu cd}) = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_\mu \Gamma_I C^I \Psi_d f^{cdb}{}_a \qquad (2.5)$$

One finds that the theory is still half BPS as one expects.

Gauge Invariance of the MCS, however, has some new and interesting features because that the gauge invariance of this terms may be preserved in various ways. At the first look, for cancelation of the variation of C_3 term in MCS action due to gauge transformation, one may add a boundary term such as

$$S_b \propto \int_{\partial M_2} b_2$$
 (2.6)

where b_2 is a self dual two form field. Then, it can be shown that the action of coupling of the three form field can be canceled. Note that the existence of such self dual fields on the boundary of M_2 brane can be interpreted as ending of M_2 branes on M_5 brane.

Another way is considering the C_3 and its dual C_6 fields as independent dynamical fields [14] and adding some new terms to the action and restoring the gauge invariance of the full action.

In BLG theory, however, there is another interesting possibility via the fact that the fields in the theory are valued in a Lie 3-algebra. For more details, the gauge transformation

of bosonic fields in the BLG theory is given by

$$\delta_{\Lambda} X_{a}^{I} = \Lambda_{cd} f^{cdb}{}_{a} X_{b}^{I}, \qquad \qquad \delta_{\Lambda} \Psi_{a} = \lambda_{cd} f^{cdb}{}_{a} \Psi_{b},$$

$$\delta_{\Lambda} \tilde{A}_{\mu}{}^{b}{}_{a} = \partial_{\mu} \tilde{A}^{b}{}_{a} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu}{}^{b}{}_{c} \tilde{A}_{\mu}{}^{c}{}_{a} + \tilde{A}_{\mu}{}^{b}{}_{c} \tilde{\Lambda}_{a}^{c} \qquad (2.7)$$

Considering the above transformations we have $\delta_{\Lambda}(D_{\lambda}X_{a}^{I}) = \Lambda_{fe}f_{a}^{fed}D_{\lambda}X_{d}^{I}$ and so the variation of MCS action for general form fields is given by

$$\delta_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{L}_{MCS}) = \lambda_{1} \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \{ \delta_{\Lambda} C_{IJK} g^{abc}$$

$$+ \Lambda_{fe} C_{IJK} (g^{dbc} f^{fea}_{\ \ d} + g^{dac} f^{feb}_{\ \ d} + g^{dab} f^{fec}_{\ \ d}) \} D_{\lambda} X^{I}_{a} D_{\mu} X^{J}_{b} D_{\nu} X^{K}_{c}$$

$$+ \lambda_{2} \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \{ \delta_{\Lambda} C_{IJKLMN} d^{gabc} f^{def}_{\ \ g} + \Lambda_{ji} C_{IJKLMN} [d^{gabc} f^{jih}_{\ \ g} f^{def}_{\ \ h}$$

$$+ (d^{ghbc} f^{jia}_{\ \ h} + d^{ghac} f^{jib}_{\ \ h} + d^{ghab} f^{jic}_{\ \ h}) f^{def}_{\ \ g}] \} X^{I}_{d} X^{K}_{e} X^{K}_{f} D_{\lambda} X^{L}_{a} D_{\mu} X^{M}_{b} D_{\nu} X^{N}_{c},$$

$$(2.8)$$

where in the third line we have used the fundamental identity. So, for having gauge symmetry for MCS term, one needs to solve following two equations

$$\delta_{\Lambda}C_{IJK}g^{abc} + C_{IJK}\Lambda_{fe}[g^{dbc}f^{fea}_{\ \ d} + g^{dac}f^{feb}_{\ \ d} + g^{dab}f^{fec}_{\ \ d}] = 0,$$

$$\delta_{\Lambda}C_{IJKLMN}g^{abcg}f^{def}_{\ \ g}$$

$$+ C_{IJKLMN}\Lambda_{ji}[d^{gabc}f^{jih}_{\ \ g}f^{def}_{\ \ h} + (d^{ghbc}f^{jia}_{\ \ h} + d^{ghac}f^{jib}_{\ \ h} + d^{ghab}f^{jic}_{\ \ h})f^{def}_{\ \ g}] = 0$$

$$(2.9)$$

For constant background fields, some solutions of these equations are found in [2]. In section 4 we use the Nambu-Poisson Bracket formalism as a tool for solving these equations.

In the next section, we use this to transfer from M2(MCS) to M5(MCS) action.

3 From M2 to M5

Finding a 6 dimensional supersymmetric gauge theory for multiple M5 brane is an important and also difficult problems. The difficulty comes from various places. For example, in 6 dimensional theories there are a self dual antisymmetric two form field in which its dynamics is not very clear. There are several proposal and methods to overcome these difficulties [15].

After BLG proposal for multiple M2 branes some attempts has been done for studying M5 brane theory using the BLG theory [9]. One of such efforts is the interesting Ho-Matsuo approach [1]. In their theory, it was supposed that there are internal degrees of freedom in the world volume theory of M2 brane. Especially, these internal space is a three dimensional manifold C(N) which one may restrict it by imposing the Nambu-Poisson bracket and then construct a Lie 3-algebra on this manifold (For a review on Nambu-poisson bracket see [16, 17]). The whole theory becomes a theory on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ manifold (\mathcal{M} is the world volume of M2 brane). In fact, one can see that the physical degrees of freedom are that of a 6 dimensional ones. In the other hand, there are five transverse directions corresponding to five scalars X^i and 3 other scalars have the role of three dynamical gauge fields.² There

 $^{^{2}}$ Note that in 3 dimensions the gauge fields are non-dynamical but in 6 dimensions there are three dynamical gauge fields.

is also a 11 dimensional Majorana spinor with a chirality condition which means that it has 16 real components corresponding to 8 bosonic degrees of freedom. So, it seems that the theory effectively is a 6 dimensional theory and M2 brane theory promoted to M5 brane theory.

In [1] Ho and Matsuo used this prescription and studied various aspects of M5 brane theory. Especially, they found the action of all dynamical fields and their supersymmetry and gauge symmetry transformations. Note that the proposed theory for M5 brane is, in fact, a theory for infinite M2 branes ending on M5 brane [18]. For finite M2 branes one should consider the problem of quantized Nambu-Poisson bracket which is an open problem [16, 17].

In this section, we want to study the coupling of M5 brane to supergravity form fields using the above approach. We consider the internal space \mathcal{N} is spanned by coordinates $y_{\mu}{}^3$ and construct a Lie 3-algebra using Nambu-Poisson bracket as

$$\{\chi^a, \chi^b, \chi^c\} = \epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\lambda}}\partial_{\dot{\mu}}\chi^a\partial_{\dot{\nu}}\chi^b\partial_{\dot{\lambda}}\chi^c = f^{abc}_{\ d}\chi^d(y) \tag{3.1}$$

where $\chi^a(y)(a = 1, ...,)$ are the basis of an infinite dimensional space C(N). The inner product is defined as

$$(\chi,\phi) = \int_{N} d^{3}y \mu(y)\chi(y)\phi(y) = \frac{1}{g^{2}} \int_{N} d^{3}y \chi(y)\phi(y)$$
(3.2)

The constant g is the measure factor and is chosen such that the inner product be invariant under the Nambu-Poisson bracket. Any coordinate transformation from $y^{\dot{\mu}}$ to $y'^{\dot{\mu}} = f^{\dot{\mu}}(y)$ should preserves Nambu-Poisson bracket which implies that

$$\{f^{\dot{1}}, f^{\dot{2}}, f^{\dot{3}}\} = 1 \tag{3.3}$$

and means that these transformations should preserve volume.⁴ Note that the definition of metric on manifold \mathcal{N} is not necessary but the most important constrain is that one should apply only volume diffeomorphism coordinate transformations on this manifold.⁵ Such volume form can be written as $\omega = dy^{\dot{1}} dy^{\dot{2}} dy^{\dot{3}}$.

By using the definition of metric $h^{ab} = (\chi^a, \chi^b)$, one may construct $f^{bcda} = f^{bcd}_e h^{ae}$ which is a totally antisymmetric 4-tensor.

In this fashion, one can expand all fields of the BLG theory in terms of basis χ^a of C(N) as

$$X^{I}(x,y) = X^{I}_{a}(x)\chi^{a}(y),$$

$$\Psi(x,y) = \Psi_{a}(x)\chi^{a}(y),$$

$$A_{\mu b}(x,y) = X_{\mu a b}(x)\chi^{a}(y).$$
(3.4)

³In fact, it is constructed a fiber bundle on M,Ho:2008nn.

⁴So, we do not have full diffeomorphism invariant action on \mathcal{N} space.

⁵It can be shown that the gauge symmetry is volume preserving diffeomorphism.

3.1 From M2 to M5 in flux sector

The world volume of an M5 brane can naturally couple to an antisymmetric C_6 field and also to antisymmetric C_3 form field via the existence of a self dual two form field b_2 in the world volume of M5 brane. The action for these form fields has been studied in several articles (see for example [3, 14, 19]). The proposed action can be written as

$$S_{M_5} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{M_5} \mathcal{H}_3 \wedge *\mathcal{H}_3 + \int_{M_5} C_3 \wedge db_2 - 2 \int_{M_5} C_6 \tag{3.5}$$

where the dual field C_6 and self dual there form \mathcal{H}_3 is defined such that

$$dC_6 = *dC - C \wedge dC, \qquad \mathcal{H}_3 = db_2 - C_3|_{M5},$$
(3.6)

Note that the definition of C_6 is not as usual definition of Hodge star of C_3 and also, there is an extra term in the definition of \mathcal{H}_3 . In fact, the gauge invariance condition forces such definitions for these form fields. Note also that for obtaining the action (3.5), one should impose the self duality condition at the level of the equation of motion and then require consistency with Bianchi identity [14].

Now, for transition from M2 brane to M5 brane theory, one should find such interacting terms for the coupling of M5 brane world volume to these form fields. We will show the existence of such terms in M5 brane theory, at weak coupling limit, using the Ho-Matsuo approach. In this limit, recalling the self duality of b_2 , we have two terms $\int_{M_5} C_3 \wedge *db_2$ and $\int_{M_5} C_6$ in action (3.5).

Let us focus on C_3 term of MCS action. Firstly, noting that we deal with an M5 brane theory on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ we have

$$\int C_3 \wedge *db_2 = \int Pull(C_3)|_{\mathcal{M}} \wedge *db_2|_{\mathcal{N}} + \int Pull(C_3)|_{\mathcal{N}} \wedge *db_2|_{\mathcal{M}}$$
(3.7)

Secondly, we have the following general identity for the wedge product

$$C\wedge *db=db\wedge *C$$

where in its component form can be written as

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}C_{IJK}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}X^{I}\mathcal{D}_{\nu}X^{J}\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}X^{K}\mathcal{H}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} = \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\mu\nu\lambda}C_{IJK}\mathcal{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}X^{I}\mathcal{D}_{\dot{\beta}}X^{J}\mathcal{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}X^{K}\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}.$$
 (3.8)

Then the covariant derivatives of a covariant field Φ can be defined as [1]

$$\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\mu}}\Phi = \partial_{\underline{\mu}}\Phi - g\{b_{\underline{\mu}\underline{\nu}}, y^{\underline{\nu}}, \Phi\} + \frac{g^2}{2}\epsilon_{\underline{\mu}\underline{\nu}\underline{\rho}}\{b^{\underline{\mu}}, b^{\underline{\nu}}, \Phi\}$$
(3.9)

where $y^{\underline{\mu}}$ is the collective coordinate for y^{μ} and $y^{\underline{\mu}}$. Note that because of the definition of Nambu-Poisson bracket the third term trivially is equal to zero whenever $\underline{\mu} = \underline{\mu}$. Equation (3.8) means that

$$\int C_3 \wedge *db_2 = 2 \int d^6 \underline{y} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} C_{IJK} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} X^I \mathcal{D}_{\nu} X^J \mathcal{D}_{\lambda} X^K \mathcal{H}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}$$
(3.10)

So, we need the following equality

$$\lambda_1 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} C_{IJK} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} X^I \mathcal{D}_{\nu} X^J \mathcal{D}_{\lambda} X^K = 2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\dot{\alpha}\beta\dot{\gamma}} C_{IJK} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} X^I \mathcal{D}_{\nu} X^J \mathcal{D}_{\lambda} X^K \mathcal{H}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}$$
(3.11)

This equality can be satisfied if one chooses a Lorenz-like gauge

$$\partial_{\dot{\rho}}b^{\dot{\rho}} = g' \tag{3.12}$$

where g' is a nonzero constant. By an appropriate choice of λ_1 and g' one can recover (3.11). In this gauge one may obtain the equation for gauge parameter as

$$\epsilon^{\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\rho}}\partial_{\dot{\rho}}b^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\dot{\mu}}\Lambda_{\dot{\nu}} = 0$$

In the next step, we analyze the C_6 term in MCS action. Here again we deal with the pull back of six form on M5 brane world volume which is $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ and so one may decompose C_6 as $C_3^1 \wedge C_3^2$ and then obtain the pull back of these fields on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$. Using the definition of Nambu-Poisson bracket one obtains (2.1)

$$\lambda_2 \int d^3x d^3y \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\dot{\lambda}\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} C_{IJKMNP} \partial_{\dot{\lambda}} X^I \partial_{\dot{\mu}} X^J \partial_{\dot{\nu}} X^K D_{\lambda} X^L_a D_{\mu} X^M_b D_{\nu} X^N_c$$
(3.13)

This term is expected to be the interacting term of C_6 field to the world volume of M5 brane but, it is the weak coupling limit of such coupling. In fact, the Nambu-Poisson bracket is not defined in terms of covariant derivatives of the fields and we have non covariant derivatives in (3.13). But in the weak coupling $g \to 0$ we have $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \simeq \partial_{\mu}$. For obtaining the higher order terms of the coupling of C_6 with world volume of the M5 brane one should consider all higher order terms in MCS action.

Note that although the terms ∂_{μ} are appear non-covariantly but, the full action (3.13) is covariant. In fact, the triple product of covariant fields is also covariant because of the fundamental identity [1]. In the other word, if $\Phi_a, a = 1, 2, 3$ are some covariant Lie 3-algebra valued fields then $\{\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3\}$ is also covariant because that the gauge transformation for any covariant field in BLG theory is given by

$$\delta_{\Lambda}\Phi = \Lambda_{ab}\{T^a, T^b, \Phi\}.$$
(3.14)

By considering

$$\delta\{\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3\} = \{\delta\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3\} + \{\Phi_1, \delta\Phi_2, \Phi_3\} + \{\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \delta\Phi_3\}$$
(3.15)

and recalling the fundamental identity (1.7) one finds that $\{\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3\}$ also transforms covariantly. So, the above action is the covariant form of the pull back of antisymmetric C_6 on M5 brane world volume at the weak coupling.

In summary, we obtain the desired terms of the coupling of the background antisymmetric fluxes with the world volume of M5 branes at least at weak coupling limit $g \to 0$. Other terms correspond to higher order terms in the M5 brane action which is believed that can be written in a DBI type action [8].

Note that, the Nambu-Poisson bracket and fundamental identity of Lie 3-algebra have crucial rule in derivation of M5 brane action from M2 brane action.

4 M5 brane coupled to antisymmetric fluxes

In this section, we point out some aspects of the coupling of fluxes with M5 brane world volume. As usual, one can fine the equation of motion of various fields when these fluxes exist. For example, one may find

$$d\mathcal{H}_3 = -dC_3|_{M5} \tag{4.1}$$

which in fact is the Bianchi identity. One can also find the equation of motions in the component form in general cases where the form fields are functional of transverse fields X^{i} 's.

Besides, the field contents of an M5 barne, the self dual three form field \mathcal{H}_3 , chiral fermion field Ψ' ,⁶ and five scalar fields X^i , form a tensor multiplet of $\mathcal{N} = (0,2)$ supersymmetry [1]. In the presence of fluxes the theory is still $\frac{1}{2}BPS$ but the unbroken sector of the theory changes.

For the gauge invariance of the theory, one may be interested on solving the equations (2.9) without using the usual gauge invariance conditions in M theory. By using the definition of Nambu-Poisson bracket, one can show that, in terms of the C(N) basis, these two equations reduces to following equations

$$\Lambda_{ij}\{\chi^i, \chi^j, \chi^a \chi^b \chi^c\} = 0, \qquad (4.2)$$

$$\Lambda_{ij}\{\chi^{i},\chi^{j},\{\chi^{d},\chi^{e},\chi^{f}\}\} = 0$$
(4.3)

Note that here the STr function is just the integral of basis over \mathcal{N} space. In fact, the above equations are a set of differential equations for C(N) basis. The solutions for these equations, if any exist, guarantee the gauge invariance of the action. As we mentioned before, some non trivial solutions are found in [2] for finite dimensional algebra, However, in some cases one may not find solutions for these equations or find trivial solutions. Here we present an example.

Consider T^3 manifold with radius R. The basis of functions can be parameterized by $\vec{n} \in Z^3$ as [1]

$$\chi^{\vec{n}}(\vec{y}) = \exp 2\pi i \vec{n}. \vec{y}/R \tag{4.4}$$

So, the metric and structure constants can be written by

$$h^{\vec{n_1}\vec{n_2}} = \delta(\vec{n_1} + \vec{n_2}),$$

$$f^{\vec{n_1}\vec{n_2}\vec{n_3}}_{\vec{n_4}} = (2\pi i/R)^3 \vec{n_1}.(\vec{n_2} \times \vec{n_3})\delta(\vec{n_1} + \vec{n_2} + \vec{n_3} - \vec{n_4})$$
(4.5)

Note that the measure is chosen $\mu = (2\pi R)^{-3}$. In $R \to \infty$ limit, one obtains the Lie 3-algebra for R^3 where the label of basis becomes continuous and the metric becomes delta function. Using these basis, the gauge invariance conditions read as

$$\vec{a} + \vec{b} + \vec{c} = 0,$$

 $\vec{d} + \vec{e} + \vec{f} = 0$ or $\vec{d}.(\vec{e} \times \vec{f}) = 0$ (4.6)

⁶This fermion field is defined in terms of BLG theory fermion field Ψ as $\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \Gamma_{123})\Psi'$.

which means that we have trivial solutions

$$f^{abc}_{d} = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

So, one can not restore the gauge invariance of the action by solving the equations (4.6) and one should use the full action (3.5) which is invariant under the usual supergravity gauge transformations.

5 From M5 to D4

As it was shown in [1], one can reduce the M5 brane action by double dimensional reduction of the six dimensional theory and obtain the action of non-commutative U(1) gauge theory on a D4 brane in a B-field background. So, one may apply such double dimensional reduction in the sector of coupling of fluxes with the world volume of M5 brane. Since this reduction gives an effective theory for D4 brane in IIA string theory, one expects that the reduced action shows the coupling of background C_5 form field with D4 brane world volume as $\int_{D4} C_5$ and background C_3 form field with D4 brane world volume via the two form gauge field on D4 brane as $\int_{D4} C_3 \wedge H_2$. These can be shown easily as follows.

In double dimensional reduction one wraps one leg of the M5 brane on a compactified dimension (for example $X^{\dot{3}}$) and supposes all fields except $X^{\dot{3}}$ are independent of $y^{\dot{3}}$ i.e

$$X^{\dot{3}} = \frac{1}{g}y^{\dot{3}} \tag{5.1}$$

and imposes a periodicity condition $X^{\dot{3}} \sim X^{\dot{3}} + L_{11}$. By the gauge invariance one can set $b^{\dot{3}} = 0$. By the above assumptions, one easily obtains $\partial_{\dot{3}}y^{\underline{\nu}} = \delta^{\underline{\nu}}_{\dot{3}}$ therefore the three form $\mathcal{H}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}$ effectively reduces to a two form field $H_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ and so

$$\int_{M5} C_3 \wedge *\mathcal{H}_3 \sim \int_{D4} C_3 \wedge H_2 \tag{5.2}$$

which is the coupling of three form with D4 brane via the world volume two form field.

Similarly, for the C_6 term we have

$$\lambda_2 \int d^3x d^3y \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\dot{\lambda}\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}} g^{abc} C_{IJKLMN} \partial_{\dot{\lambda}} X^I \partial_{\dot{\mu}} X^J \partial_{\dot{\nu}} X^K D_{\lambda} X^L_a D_{\mu} X^M_b D_{\nu} X^N_c$$

$$\sim \lambda_2 \int d^3x d^3y \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}\dot{3}} g^{abc} C_{\dot{3}JKLMN} \partial_{\dot{\mu}} X^J \partial_{\dot{\nu}} X^K D_{\lambda} X^L_a D_{\mu} X^M_b D_{\nu} X^N_c$$
(5.3)

which is the coupling of C_5 form field with world volume of D4 brane.

Note that D4 brane can also couples to background one form field of IIA theory via the higher power of two form field H_2 which we did'nt consider such higher order couplings here.

6 Conclusion

By using the concepts and structures of Nambu-Poisson manifolds, Ho and Matsuo [1] were able to promote the three dimensional field theory on multiple M2 branes to a six

dimensional ones which is realized as world volume theory on an M5 brane. The theory has field contents of $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$ supersymmetric theory ie. five scalars X^i , the self dual tensor field \mathcal{H}_3 and chiral fermion field Ψ' which all of them are valued in a Lie 3-algebra. The corresponding supersymmetry and gauge symmetry transformations of fields is derived too.

It is natural to demand that such prescription works when the 11 dimensional supergravity antisymmetric fields (as background fields) couple to membrane. In this paper, we argue that at least in the weak coupling limit of gauge theory it happens and one can see such transition from M2 brane to M5 baren theory. Our starting point is the action presented in [2] where it has been suggested a so called Myers-Chern-Simon(MCS) action for the coupling of the M2 brane to background antisymmetric fluxes C_3 and C_6 . The main ingredient in this action is that it is supposed that all fields are valued in a Lie 3algebra. After applying the Ho-Matsuo approach to this action, we find the term which, in a Lorenz-like gauge, is the coupling of M5 brane to C_3 and the coupling of M5 to C_6 at weak coupling limit. It is important to study the behavior of MCS term in strong coupling limit.

We also study some other aspects of M5 brane in the flux sector especially, we obtain a set of differential equations which their solutions are sufficient conditions for having gauge invariance in the theory. Then, for solving these equations, we present an example, T^3 manifold, and apply this conditions on this space. We find that one can not find a non trivial solutions for these equations and so, for having gauge invariance one should add some extra terms (boundary terms or higher order terms) to restoring gauge invariance.

At the end, it also seems that the Lie 3-algebra has an important roll in transition from M2 brane theory to M5 brane theory. It may also has a roll in a duality in Mtheory known as M2 - M2 brane duality, which in some simple form is a duality between membrane and five brane wrapped on a three dimensional manifold, which was originally proposed in [25] (See also [26]).

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Dr A. Ali-Akbari for drawing my attention to the BLG theory.

References

- P.-M. Ho and Y. Matsuo, M5 from M2, JHEP 06 (2008) 105 [arXiv:0804.3629] [SPIRES];
 P.-M. Ho, Y. Imamura and Y. Matsuo, M2 to D2 revisited, JHEP 07 (2008) 003
 [arXiv:0805.1202] [SPIRES];
 P.-M. Ho, Y. Imamura, Y. Matsuo and S. Shiba, M5-brane in three-form flux and multiple M2-branes, JHEP 08 (2008) 014 [arXiv:0805.2898] [SPIRES].
- M. Li and T. Wang, M2-branes coupled to antisymmetric fluxes, JHEP 07 (2008) 093 [arXiv:0805.3427] [SPIRES].
- [3] P.K. Townsend, Four lectures on M-theory, hep-th/9612121 [SPIRES];
 E. Witten, On flux quantization in M-theory and the effective action,
 J. Geom. Phys. 22 (1997) 1 [hep-th/9609122] [SPIRES]; Five-brane effective action in M-theory, J. Geom. Phys. 22 (1997) 103 [hep-th/9610234] [SPIRES];

P. Pasti, D.P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, Covariant action for a D = 11 five-brane with the chiral field, Phys. Lett. B 398 (1997) 41 [hep-th/9701037] [SPIRES];
M. Aganagic, J. Park, C. Popescu and J.H. Schwarz, World-volume action of the M-theory five-brane, Nucl. Phys. B 496 (1997) 191 [hep-th/9701166] [SPIRES].

- [4] D.S. Berman, M-theory branes and their interactions, Phys. Rept. 456 (2008) 89
 [arXiv:0710.1707] [SPIRES].
- [5] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, N = 6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals, JHEP 10 (2008) 091
 [arXiv:0806.1218] [SPIRES].
- [6] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Modeling multiple M2's, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 045020 [hep-th/0611108] [SPIRES]; Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 065008 [arXiv:0711.0955] [SPIRES]; Comments on multiple M2-branes, JHEP 02 (2008) 105 [arXiv:0712.3738] [SPIRES];
 A. Gustavsson, Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes, Nucl. Phys. B 811 (2009) 66 [arXiv:0709.1260] [SPIRES].
- [7] A. Basu and J.A. Harvey, The M2-M5 brane system and a generalized Nahm's equation, Nucl. Phys. B 713 (2005) 136 [hep-th/0412310] [SPIRES].
- [8] R. Iengo and J.G. Russo, Non-linear theory for multiple M2 branes, JHEP 10 (2008) 030 [arXiv:0808.2473] [SPIRES];
 M.R. Garousi, On non-linear action of multiple M2-branes, Nucl. Phys. B 809 (2009) 519 [arXiv:0809.0985] [SPIRES].
- [9] P.-M. Ho, R.-C. Hou and Y. Matsuo, Lie 3-algebra and multiple M2-branes, JHEP 06 (2008) 020 [arXiv:0804.2110] [SPIRES]; G. Papadopoulos, M2-branes, 3-Lie algebras and Plucker relations, JHEP 05 (2008) 054 [arXiv:0804.2662] [SPIRES]; J.P. Gauntlett and J.B. Gutowski, Constraining maximally supersymmetric membrane actions, arXiv:0804.3078 [SPIRES]; M. Alishahiha and S. Mukhi, *Higher-derivative 3-algebras*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 032 [arXiv:0808.3067] [SPIRES]; B. Ezhuthachan, S. Mukhi and C. Papageorgakis, D2 to D2, JHEP 07 (2008) 041 [arXiv:0806.1639] [SPIRES]; A. Gustavsson, One-loop corrections to Bagger-Lambert theory, Nucl. Phys. B 807 (2009) 315 [arXiv:0805.4443] [SPIRES]; M. Ali-Akbari, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari and J. Simon, Relaxed three-algebras: their matrix representations and implications for multi M2-brane theory, JHEP 12 (2008) 037 [arXiv:0807.1570] [SPIRES]; M.A. Bandres, A.E. Lipstein and J.H. Schwarz, Ghost-free superconformal action for multiple M2-branes, JHEP 07 (2008) 117 [arXiv:0806.0054] [SPIRES]; N = 8 superconformal *Chern-Simons theories*, *JHEP* **05** (2008) 025 [arXiv:0803.3242] [SPIRES]; J. Gomis, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, M. Van Raamsdonk and H. Verlinde, Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory from lorentzian three-algebras, JHEP 08 (2008) 094 [arXiv:0806.0738] [SPIRES]; S. Benvenuti, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, E. Tonni and H. Verlinde, N = 8 superconformal gauge theories and M2 branes, JHEP 01 (2009) 078 [arXiv:0805.1087] [SPIRES];
 - K. Furuuchi, S.-Y.D. Shih and T. Takimi, *M-theory superalgebra from multiple membranes*, *JHEP* **08** (2008) 072 [arXiv:0806.4044] [SPIRES];

K. Lee, S. Lee and J.-H. Park, *Topological twisting of multiple M2-brane theory*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 014 [arXiv:0809.2924] [SPIRES];

G. Bonelli, A. Tanzini and M. Zabzine, *Topological branes*, *p*-algebras and generalized Nahm equations, arXiv:0807.5113 [SPIRES];

J. Gomis, G. Milanesi and J.G. Russo, *Bagger-Lambert theory for general Lie algebras*, *JHEP* **06** (2008) 075 [arXiv:0805.1012] [SPIRES];

J.-H. Park and C. Sochichiu, Single M5 to multiple M2: taking off the square root of Nambu-Goto action, arXiv:0806.0335 [SPIRES];

H. Fuji, S. Terashima and M. Yamazaki, A new N = 4 membrane action via orbifold, Nucl. Phys. B 810 (2009) 354 [arXiv:0805.1997] [SPIRES];

A. Morozov, From simplified BLG action to the first-quantized M-theory, JETP Lett. 87 (2008) 659 [arXiv:0805.1703] [SPIRES];

S. Cecotti and A. Sen, *Coulomb branch of the lorentzian three algebra theory*, arXiv:0806.1990 [SPIRES];

D.S. Berman, L.C. Tadrowski and D.C. Thompson, Aspects of multiple membranes, Nucl. Phys. B 802 (2008) 106 [arXiv:0803.3611] [SPIRES];

N. Lambert and D. Tong, *Membranes on an orbifold*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 041602 [arXiv:0804.1114] [SPIRES];

U. Gran, B.E.W. Nilsson and C. Petersson, On relating multiple M2 and D2-branes, JHEP 10 (2008) 067 [arXiv:0804.1784] [SPIRES];

J. Gomis, A.J. Salim and F. Passerini, *Matrix theory of type IIB plane wave from* membranes, *JHEP* **08** (2008) 002 [arXiv:0804.2186] [SPIRES];

Y. Honma, S. Iso, Y. Sumitomo and S. Zhang, Janus field theories from multiple M2 branes, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 025027 [arXiv:0805.1895] [SPIRES];
C. Krishnan and C. Maccaferri, Membranes on calibrations, JHEP 07 (2008) 005 [arXiv:0805.3125] [SPIRES].

- [10] S. Mukhi and C. Papageorgakis, M2 to D2, JHEP 05 (2008) 085 [arXiv:0803.3218] [SPIRES].
- [11] I. Jeon, J. Kim, B.-H. Lee, J.-H. Park and N. Kim, M-brane bound states and the supersymmetry of BPS solutions in the Bagger-Lambert theory, arXiv:0809.0856 [SPIRES].
- [12] J. Polchinski, Dirichlet-branes and Ramond-Ramond charges, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 4724 [hep-th/9510017] [SPIRES].
- [13] R.C. Myers, *Dielectric-branes*, *JHEP* **12** (1999) 022 [hep-th/9910053] [SPIRES].
- S.P. de Alwis, Coupling of branes and normalization of effective actions in string/M-theory, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 7963 [hep-th/9705139] [SPIRES].
- [15] P.S. Howe, G. Sierra and P.K. Townsend, Supersymmetry in six-dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 221 (1983) 331 [SPIRES];
 L.J. Romans, Selfduality for interacting fields: covariant field equations for six-dimensional chiral supergravities, Nucl. Phys. B 276 (1986) 71 [SPIRES].
- [16] Y. Nambu, Generalized hamiltonian dynamics, Phys. Rev. D 7 (1973) 2405 [SPIRES].
- [17] H. Awata, M. Li, D. Minic and T. Yoneya, On the quantization of Nambu brackets, JHEP 02 (2001) 013 [hep-th/9906248] [SPIRES];
 L. Takhtajan, On Foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics (second version), Commun. Math. Phys. 160 (1994) 295 [hep-th/9301111] [SPIRES];
 I. Vaisman, A survey on Nambu-Poisson brackets, Acta. Math. Univ. Comenianae 2 (1999)

213 [math.DG/9901047];

Ph. Gautheron, Some remarks concerning Nambu mechanics, Lett. Math. Phys. **37** (1996) 103;

D. Alekseevsky and P. Guha, On decomposability of Nambu-Poisson tensor, Acta. Math. Univ. Commenianae 65 (1996) 1.

- [18] D.S. Berman and N.B. Copland, A note on the M2-M5 brane system and fuzzy spheres, Phys. Lett. B 639 (2006) 553 [hep-th/0605086] [SPIRES];
 J.P. Gauntlett, Membranes on fivebranes, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3 (1999) 775 [hep-th/9906162] [SPIRES].
- M.R. Douglas, Branes within branes, hep-th/9512077 [SPIRES];
 A.A. Tseytlin, On non-abelian generalisation of the Born-Infeld action in string theory, Nucl. Phys. B 501 (1997) 41 [hep-th/9701125] [SPIRES].
- [20] Y. Kawamura, Cubic matrix, generalized spin algebra and uncertainty relation, Prog. Theor. Phys. 110 (2003) 579 [hep-th/0304149] [SPIRES].
- [21] Y. Kawamura, Dynamical theory of generalized matrices, Prog. Theor. Phys. 114 (2005) 669 [hep-th/0504017] [SPIRES].
- [22] Y. Honma, S. Iso, Y. Sumitomo, H. Umetsu and S. Zhang, Generalized conformal symmetry and recovery of SO(8) in multiple M2 and D2 branes, arXiv:0807.3825 [SPIRES].
- Y. Matsuo and Y. Shibusa, Volume preserving diffeomorphism and noncommutative branes, JHEP 02 (2001) 006 [hep-th/0010040] [SPIRES];
 M. Sakakibara, Remarks on a deformation quantization of the canonical Nambu bracket, Prog. Theor. Phys. 104 (2000) 1067 [SPIRES].
- [24] C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, Unity of superstring dualities, Nucl. Phys. B 438 (1995) 109 [hep-th/9410167] [SPIRES].
- [25] P.K. Townsend, String-membrane duality in seven-dimensions, Phys. Lett. B 354 (1995) 247
 [hep-th/9504095] [SPIRES].
- [26] O. Aharony, String theory dualities from M-theory, Nucl. Phys. B 476 (1996) 470 [hep-th/9604103] [SPIRES].